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For $p=d-1$ there is nothing to be dualized and we have no idea of which ( $d-1$ )- (spacetime filling) branes the theory may contain.
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## Main idea:

> Find systematically all the possible bosonic fields that can be consistently added to Supergravity theories:

## Extensions

Here consistently means essentially that these are fields on which the local SUSY algebra is realized and that they do not add new local degrees of freedom.
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One finds that

$$
\delta_{\epsilon} \mathcal{L}_{\text {brane }} \sim\left(\tau_{\text {brane }}+f(\phi) \Gamma_{01 \cdots p}\right) \epsilon
$$

and, thus,

$$
\tau_{\text {brane }}(\phi)=f(\phi),
$$

and the Lagrangian is invariant under the the 16 independent transformations satisfying the projection

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{01 \cdots p}\right) \epsilon=0
$$

Then, the potentials whose SUSY transformation rule does not contain the gravitino $B^{(8)}$ and $D^{(10)}$ cannot be used to construct $\kappa$-symmetric worldvolume actions.

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

Then, the potentials whose SUSY transformation rule does not contain the gravitino $B^{(8)}$ and $D^{(10)}$ cannot be used to construct $\kappa$-symmetric worldvolume actions. By simple inspection we conclude that the IIA supersymmetric branes and their tensions are

| Potential | Brane | Tension | Projection operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C^{(1)}$ | D0 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{0}\right)$ |
| $B^{(2)}$ | F1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{01} \Gamma_{11}\right)$ |
| $C^{(3)}$ | D2 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{012}\right)$ |
| $C^{(5)}$ | D4 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{01 \cdots 4} \Gamma_{11}\right)$ |
| $B^{(6)}$ | NS5 | $e^{-2 \phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{01 \cdots 5}\right)$ |
| $C^{(7)}$ | D6 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{01 \cdots 6}\right)$ |
| $C^{(9)}$ | D8 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{01 \cdots 8} \Gamma_{11}\right)$ |
| $\mathcal{D}^{(10)}$ | NS9 | $e^{-2 \phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\Gamma_{11}\right)$ |
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The following form-fields realizing the local supersymmetry algebra were found:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{U^{\alpha \beta}, A^{(2) \alpha}, A^{(4)}, A^{(6) \alpha}, A^{(8) \alpha \beta}, A^{(10) \alpha}, A^{(10) \alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}, \\
\alpha, \beta, \gamma=1,2, \quad S U(1,1) \text { indices }
\end{gathered}
$$
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Observe that they do not transform into the gravitino and, therefore, cannot couple to dynamical branes (but they can couple to instantons).
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$A^{(2) \alpha}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ doublet that describes two real 2-forms: the NS-NS 2-form which couples to the F1 and the RR 2-form which couples to the D1. The precise relation between them depends on the same choice of basis.


$$
\delta_{\epsilon} A^{(4)}{ }_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}=\bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{[\mu \nu \rho} \psi_{\sigma]}-\bar{\epsilon}_{C} \Gamma_{[\mu \nu \rho} \psi_{\sigma] C}-\frac{3 i}{8} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} A^{(2) \alpha}{ }_{[\mu \nu} \delta_{\epsilon} A^{(2) \beta} \beta_{\rho \sigma]} .
$$

$A^{(4)}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ singlet.
$\square$
The 4-form:
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$$

$A^{(4)}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ singlet. It describes the RR 4-form which couples to the D3.
The precise relation between them depends on the same choice of basis. It is important to notice that $C^{(4)}$ is not S-duality-invariant.
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The doublet of 6-forms:
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\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\delta_{\epsilon} A^{(6) \alpha}{ }_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{6}}= & i V_{-}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{6}} \lambda-i V_{+}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}_{C} \Gamma_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{6}} \lambda_{C} \\
& +12\left(V_{-}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}_{C} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{5}\right.} \psi_{\left.\mu_{6}\right]}-V_{+}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{5}\right.} \psi_{C} \mu_{6}\right]
\end{array}\right)
$$

$A^{(6) \alpha}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ doublet that can be obtained by Hodge-dualizing $A^{(2) \alpha}$. It describes the
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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& +12\left(V_{-}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}_{C} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{5}\right.} \psi_{\left.\mu_{6}\right]}-V_{+}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{5}\right.} \psi_{\left.C \mu_{6}\right]}\right) \\
& + \text { gauge }- \text { field }- \text { dependent terms } .
\end{aligned}
$$

$A^{(6) \alpha}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ doublet that can be obtained by Hodge-dualizing $A^{(2) \alpha}$. It describes the NS-NS 6 -form dual to the Kalb-Ramond 2-form which couples to the solitonic 5-brane

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

## The doublet of 6-forms:
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& +12\left(V_{-}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}_{C} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{5}\right.} \psi_{\left.\mu_{6}\right]}-V_{+}^{\alpha} \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{5}\right.} \psi_{\left.C \mu_{6}\right]}\right) \\
& + \text { gauge }- \text { field }- \text { dependent terms } .
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$$

$A^{(6) \alpha}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ doublet that can be obtained by Hodge-dualizing $A^{(2) \alpha}$. It describes the NS-NS 6 -form dual to the Kalb-Ramond 2-form which couples to the solitonic 5 -brane and the RR 6 -form dual to the RR 2 -form which couples to the D5.

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

## The triplet of 8-forms:
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\begin{aligned}
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& +8 V_{+}^{(\alpha} V_{-}^{\beta)}\left(\bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{7}\right.} \psi_{\left.\mu_{8}\right]}-\bar{\epsilon}_{C} \Gamma_{\left[\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{7}\right.} \psi_{\left.C \mu_{8}\right]}\right) \\
& + \text { gauge }- \text { field }- \text { dependent terms } .
\end{aligned}
$$

$A^{(8) \alpha \beta}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ triplet that can be obtained by Hodge-dualizing the 3 Noether currents associated to the global $S U(1,1)$ invariance (Meessen \& O. hep-th/9806120, Dall'Agata Lechner \& Tonin hep-th/9806140) .
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$A^{(8) \alpha \beta}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ triplet that can be obtained by Hodge-dualizing the 3 Noether currents associated to the global $S U(1,1)$ invariance (Meessen \& O. hep-th/9806120, Dall'Agata Lechner \& Tonin hep-th/9806140). This is the only procedure preserves $S U(1,1)$ invariance.

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions
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& + \text { gauge }- \text { field }- \text { dependent terms } .
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$A^{(8) \alpha \beta}$ is an $S U(1,1)$ triplet that can be obtained by Hodge-dualizing the 3 Noether currents associated to the global $S U(1,1)$ invariance (Meessen \& O. hep-th/9806120, Dall'Agata Lechner \& Tonin hep-th/9806140) . This is the only procedure preserves $S U(1,1)$ invariance. Their field strengths satisfy an $S U(1,1)$-invariant constraint, but we get 38 -forms.

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

## The triplet of 8-forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{U^{\alpha \beta}, A^{(2) \alpha},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$A^{(8)} \alpha \beta$ is an $S U(1,1)$ triplet that can be obtained by Hodge-dualizing the 3 Noether currents associated to the global $S U(1,1)$ invariance (Meessen \& O. hep-th/9806120, Dall'Agata Lechner \& Tonin hep-th/9806140) . This is the only procedure preserves $S U(1,1)$ invariance. Their field strengths satisfy an $S U(1,1)$-invariant constraint, but we get 38 -forms. One of them is the RR 8 -form dual to the RR scalar which couples to the D7.


## How many 7 -branes are there?

A 7-brane is characterized by the 3 charges $p, r, q$ that weight its coupling to each of the 38 -form potentials. The leading terms of its worldvolume action are:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{(p, r, q)} \sim \tau_{(p, r, q)} \sqrt{-g}+\epsilon^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}\left(p C^{(8)}{ }_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}+r D^{(8)}{ }_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}+q B^{(8)}{ }_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}\right) .
$$

## How many 7 -branes are there?

A 7 -brane is characterized by the 3 charges $p, r, q$ that weight its coupling to each of the 38 -form potentials. The leading terms of its worldvolume action are:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{(p, r, q)} \sim \tau_{(p, r, q)} \sqrt{-g}+\epsilon^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}\left(p C^{(8)}{ }_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}+r D_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}^{(8)}+q B_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}^{(8)}\right) .
$$

We find supersymmetry for any $p, r, q$ if the tension is given by

$$
\tau_{(p, r, q)}=\left|p e^{-\phi}+r \ell e^{-\phi}+q\left(e^{-3 \phi}+\ell^{2} e^{-\phi}\right)\right| .
$$

and the projector is $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \sigma_{2} \Gamma_{01 \cdots 7}\right)$.
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$$
\mathcal{L}_{(p, r, q)} \sim \tau_{(p, r, q)} \sqrt{-g}+\epsilon^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}\left(p C^{(8)}{ }_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}+r D^{(8)}{ }_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}+q B^{(8)}{ }_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{8}}\right) .
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We find supersymmetry for any $p, r, q$ if the tension is given by

$$
\tau_{(p, r, q)}=\left|p e^{-\phi}+r \ell e^{-\phi}+q\left(e^{-3 \phi}+\ell^{2} e^{-\phi}\right)\right|
$$

and the projector is $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \sigma_{2} \Gamma_{01 \cdots 7}\right)$.
The tension (as the Lagrangian) also has manifestly $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant form in the Einstein frame:

$$
\tau_{(p, r, Q)}^{E}=Q_{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{M}^{\alpha \beta}, \quad Q_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q & r / 2 \\
r / 2 & p
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{M}=e^{\phi}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\ell^{2}+e^{-2 \phi} & \ell \\
\ell & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$
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A 7 -brane is characterized by the 3 charges $p, r, q$ that weight its coupling to each of the 38 -form potentials. The leading terms of its worldvolume action are:
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and the projector is $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \sigma_{2} \Gamma_{01 \cdots 7}\right)$.
The tension (as the Lagrangian) also has manifestly $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant form in the Einstein frame:
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\tau_{(p, r, Q)}^{E}=Q_{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{M}^{\alpha \beta}, \quad Q_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
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r / 2 & p
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$\operatorname{det} Q=p q-r^{2} / 4$ is an $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant which labels different conjugacy classes of 7-brane charges. Each element of a conjugacy class is a non-linear doublet.

For instance, the standard D7-brane $(p, r, q)=(1,0,0)$ belongs to the $\operatorname{det} Q=0$ class of " $p q$ - 7 -branes" which transform in the simple non-linear form

$$
\left(\sqrt{q^{\prime}}, \sqrt{p^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)(\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{p}) .
$$

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

For instance, the standard D7-brane $(p, r, q)=(1,0,0)$ belongs to the $\operatorname{det} Q=0$ class of " $p q$-7-branes" which transform in the simple non-linear form

$$
\left(\sqrt{q^{\prime}}, \sqrt{p^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)(\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{p}) .
$$

In the same $\operatorname{det} Q=0$ class there is a "NS-NS" 7-brane $(p, r, q)=(0,0,1)$ which is the S-dual of the D7-brane and as tension $g_{s}^{-3}$.

For instance, the standard D7-brane $(p, r, q)=(1,0,0)$ belongs to the $\operatorname{det} Q=0$ class of " $p q$ - 7 -branes" which transform in the simple non-linear form
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\left(\sqrt{q^{\prime}}, \sqrt{p^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)(\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{p})
$$

In the same $\operatorname{det} Q=0$ class there is a "NS-NS" 7 -brane $(p, r, q)=(0,0,1)$ which is the S-dual of the D7-brane and as tension $g_{s}^{-3}$.
It turns out that, including terms beyond the leading ones and a Born-Infeld vector, a supersymmetric and gauge-invariant Lagrangian can be constructed for all cases with $\operatorname{det} Q \geq 0$ (Bergshoeff, Hartong \& Sorokin arXiv:0708.2287).

For instance, the standard D7-brane $(p, r, q)=(1,0,0)$ belongs to the $\operatorname{det} Q=0$ class of " $p q$ - 7 -branes" which transform in the simple non-linear form

$$
\left(\sqrt{q^{\prime}}, \sqrt{p^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)(\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{p})
$$

In the same $\operatorname{det} Q=0$ class there is a "NS-NS" 7 -brane $(p, r, q)=(0,0,1)$ which is the S-dual of the D7-brane and as tension $g_{s}^{-3}$.
It turns out that, including terms beyond the leading ones and a Born-Infeld vector, a supersymmetric and gauge-invariant Lagrangian can be constructed for all cases with $\operatorname{det} Q \geq 0$ (Bergshoeff, Hartong \& Sorokin arXiv:0708.2287).
This implies that the third possible kind of 7 -brane $(p, r, q)=(0,1,0)$ cannot exist independently and be supersymmetric

## Are there also as many 7-brane solutions?

7-brane configurations are supersymmetric solutions of the gravity+scalar part of the $N=2 B, d=10$ SUGRA action:

$$
S=\int d^{10} x \sqrt{|g|}\left[R-\frac{\partial_{\mu} \tau \partial^{\mu} \bar{\tau}}{2(\Im m \tau)^{2}}\right], \quad \tau \equiv \ell+i e^{-\phi},
$$

where the complex scalar $\tau$ transforms under $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ according to

$$
\tau^{\prime}=\frac{a+b \tau}{c+d \tau}
$$
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They have the form (Greene, Shapere, Vafa \& Yau, Nucl. Phys. B 337, 1 (1990))

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d s^{2} & =-d t^{2}+d \vec{x}_{7}^{2}+\Im m \tau|f|^{2} d z d \bar{z} \\
\tau & =\tau(z), \quad f=f(z)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$
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\left\{\begin{aligned}
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$$
\text { Similar solutions exist for any } d \text {. In } d=4 \text { they are strings. }
$$

## Observe that

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

Observe that
$f(z)$ may always be removed by a holomorphic change of coordinates, but it has to be there for the metric to be S-duality-invariant:

$$
\tau^{\prime}=\frac{a+b \tau}{c+d \tau}, \quad \quad f^{\prime}(z)=(c+d \tau) f(z)
$$
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$$
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Under $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ the spinors of $N=2 B, d=10$ SUGRA transform according to

$$
\lambda \rightarrow e^{3 i \varphi} \lambda, \quad \psi_{\mu} \rightarrow e^{i \varphi} \psi_{\mu}, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow e^{i \varphi} \epsilon, \quad \varphi=\frac{1}{2} \arg (c \tau+d)
$$
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The Killing spinor of all these solutions is given by

$$
\epsilon=(f / \bar{f})^{1 / 4} \epsilon_{0},
$$

and $f$ is necessary for it to transform correctly under S-duality.
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The Killing spinor of all these solutions is given by

$$
\epsilon=(f / \bar{f})^{1 / 4} \epsilon_{0},
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and $f$ is necessary for it to transform correctly under S-duality.
The transformation $S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ satisfies $S^{2}=1$ when acting on $\tau, S^{4}=1$ when acting on $f(z)$ and $S^{8}=1$ when acting on $\epsilon$.

We say that $\tau(z)$ describes a $(p, r, q) 7$-brane at $z=z_{0}$ if the monodromy of $\tau(z)$ around $z=z_{0}$ is

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=e^{-S Q}=\exp \left(\begin{array}{cc}
r / 2 & p \\
q & -r / 2
\end{array}\right)
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\text { Monodromy }=7 \text {-brane charge }
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For the standard D7-brane
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Local expressions for $\tau(z)$ can be found for any set of charges $(p, r, q)$ (Bergshoeff, Gran \& Roest hep-th/0203202).
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Local expressions for $\tau(z)$ can be found for any set of charges $(p, r, q)$ (Bergshoeff, Gran \& Roest hep-th/0203202).
We can always view $z$ as taking values on the Riemann sphere with poles and branch cuts. Then, charge (monodromy) conservation tells us that one cannot have just one 7 -brane. This has to be taken into account in the construction of globally well-defined solutions.

We say that $\tau(z)$ describes a $(p, r, q) 7$-brane at $z=z_{0}$ if the monodromy of $\tau(z)$ around $z=z_{0}$ is

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=e^{-S Q}=\exp \left(\begin{array}{cc}
r / 2 & p \\
q & -r / 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\text { Monodromy }=7 \text {-brane charge }
$$

For the standard D7-brane

$$
\tau(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left(z-z_{0}\right), \quad \Lambda=T \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Local expressions for $\tau(z)$ can be found for any set of charges $(p, r, q)$ (Bergshoeff, Gran \& Roest hep-th/0203202).
We can always view $z$ as taking values on the Riemann sphere with poles and branch cuts. Then, charge (monodromy) conservation tells us that one cannot have just one 7 -brane. This has to be taken into account in the construction of globally well-defined solutions. A discussion of how to construct globally well-defined 7-brane solutions (well-defined $\tau$, metric and Killing spinor can be found in (Bergshoeff, Hartong, O. \& Roest hep-th/0612072).

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

## The doublet of 10 -forms:
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& + \text { gauge }- \text { field }- \text { dependent terms }
\end{aligned}
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$A^{(10)} \alpha$ describes a doublet of 10 -forms whose relation to String Theory 9-branes will be discussed later.
Observe that, in principle we only expect one RR 10-form related to the D9-brane.
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We need to see if one can construct $\kappa$-symmetric actions for the 9 -branes that would couple to the 10 -forms (Bergshoeff, de Roo, Kerstan, O. \& Riccioni hep-th/0601128, hep-th/0611036).
The results are:
$\rightarrow$ Supersymmetry leads to the following $S U(1,1)$-covariant restriction on the coupling to the quadruplet of 9 -branes

$$
Q^{\alpha \beta}=q_{\alpha \gamma \delta} q_{\beta \epsilon \zeta} \epsilon^{\gamma \epsilon} \epsilon^{\delta \zeta}=0
$$

so in the quadruplet there are only two independent 9-brane charges that transform, again, as a non-linear doublet. The standard D9-brane belongs to it.
$\rightarrow$ The Wess-Zumino term of the linear doublet of 9-branes does not contain couplings to any Born-Infeld field, which is, however, naively required for $\kappa$-symmetry.

The branes of $N=2 B$ SUGRA

| Potential | Brane | Tension | Projection operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B^{(2)}$ | F1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sigma_{3} \Gamma_{01}\right)$ |
| $C^{(2)}$ | D1 | $\sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{-e^{-\phi} \sigma_{1}+\ell \sigma_{3}}{\sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}}} \Gamma_{01}\right)$ |
| $C^{(4)}$ | D3 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \sigma_{2} \Gamma_{0123}\right)$ |
| $B^{(6)}$ | NS5 | $e^{-\phi} \sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{e^{-\phi} \sigma_{3}+\ell \sigma_{1}}{\left.\sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}} \Gamma_{01 \cdots 5}\right)}\right.$ |
| $C^{(6)}$ | D5 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sigma_{1} \Gamma_{01 \cdots 5}\right)$ |
| $B^{(8)}$ | $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} 7$ | $e^{-3 \phi}+\ell^{2} e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \sigma_{2} \Gamma_{01 \cdots 7}\right)$ |
| $C^{(8)}$ | D 7 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \sigma_{2} \Gamma_{01 \cdots 7}\right)$ |
| $\mathcal{D}^{(10)}$ | S 9 | $e^{-2 \phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sigma_{3}\right)$ |
| $\mathcal{E}^{(10)}$ | $\widetilde{\mathrm{S} 9}$ | $e^{-2 \phi} \sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{-e^{-\phi} \sigma_{1}+\ell \sigma_{3}}{\sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}}}\right)$ |
| $B^{(10)}$ | $\widetilde{\mathrm{D} 9}$ | $e^{-\phi}\left(e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\ell \sigma_{1}+e^{-\phi} \sigma_{3}}{\sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\ell^{2}}}\right)$ |
| $C^{(10)}$ | D 9 | $e^{-\phi}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sigma_{1}\right)$ |

## 4 - Extensions of $N=2, d=4$ SUGRA: supersymmetric solutions

$\mathrm{N}=2, \mathrm{~d}=4$ SUGRA admits electrically and magnetically charged $1 / 2$ supersymmetric black-hole solutions (Ferrara, Kallosh \& Strominger, hep-th/9508072, Behrndt, Lüst \& Sabra hep-th/9705169).
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and their Killing spinors take the general form

$$
\epsilon_{I}=\left(f / f^{*}\right)^{1 / 4} \epsilon_{I 0}, \quad \gamma_{\underline{z}^{*}} \epsilon_{I 0}=0
$$

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

In general, the holomorphic functions $Z^{i}(z)$ will have have singularities and branch cuts and, when crossing a branch cut, their value may be transformed by an element of the isometry group of the Kähler metric $G_{V} \subseteq S p(2 \bar{n}, \mathbb{R})$.
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To find the 2-forms $\mathrm{N}=2, \mathrm{~d}=4$ SUGRA we have to start by looking for all its possible vectors.
$\mathrm{N}=2, \mathrm{~d}=4$ SUGRA coupled to $n_{V}$ vector multiplets contains $\bar{n}=n_{V}+1$ vectors $A^{\Lambda}{ }_{\mu}$ which are treated on the same footing. They couple to the electric charges $q_{\Lambda}$ of supersymmetric black holes.
It is easy to introduce the $\bar{n}$ dual vector fields $A_{\Lambda \mu}$ that couple to the magnetic charges $p^{\Lambda}$ of supersymmetric black holes.
All these vectors can be combined into an $S p(2 \bar{n}, \mathbb{R})$ vector

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \equiv\binom{A^{\Lambda}{ }_{\mu}}{A_{\Lambda \mu}}
$$

with supersymmetry transformation rule

$$
\delta_{\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}=\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V} \epsilon_{I J} \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \epsilon^{J}+\frac{i}{8} \mathfrak{D}_{i} \mathcal{V} \epsilon_{I J} \bar{\lambda}^{I i} \gamma_{\mu} \epsilon^{J}+\text { c.c. }, \quad \mathcal{V}=\binom{\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}}{\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{i} \mathcal{V}=\binom{f^{\Lambda}{ }_{i}}{h_{\Lambda i}}
$$

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

The supersymmetric, gauge and symplectic-invariant coupling to electric and magnetically charged black holes (0-branes) is given by the worldline action
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S=\int d \xi|\mathcal{Z}| \sqrt{\frac{d X^{\mu}}{d \xi} \frac{d X^{\nu}}{d \xi} g_{\mu \nu}(X)}+\int d \xi\left\langle q \mid \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right\rangle \frac{d X^{\mu}}{d \xi}
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the central charge
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\mathcal{Z} \equiv\langle q \mid \mathcal{V}\rangle=\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda} q_{\Lambda}-\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} p^{\Lambda}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle q \mid \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right\rangle=A^{\Lambda} q_{\Lambda}-A_{\Lambda} p^{\Lambda} .
$$

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

The supersymmetric, gauge and symplectic-invariant coupling to electric and magnetically charged black holes (0-branes) is given by the worldline action

$$
S=\int d \xi|\mathcal{Z}| \sqrt{\frac{d X^{\mu}}{d \xi} \frac{d X^{\nu}}{d \xi} g_{\mu \nu}(X)}+\int d \xi\left\langle q \mid \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right\rangle \frac{d X^{\mu}}{d \xi}
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the central charge

$$
\mathcal{Z} \equiv\langle q \mid \mathcal{V}\rangle=\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda} q_{\Lambda}-\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} p^{\Lambda}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle q \mid \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right\rangle=A^{\Lambda} q_{\Lambda}-A_{\Lambda} p^{\Lambda} .
$$

This is not a surprising result, but it is nice to recover the mass ("tension") of supersymmetric black holes in such a simple way.

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

The supersymmetric, gauge and symplectic-invariant coupling to electric and magnetically charged black holes (0-branes) is given by the worldline action

$$
S=\int d \xi|\mathcal{Z}| \sqrt{\frac{d X^{\mu}}{d \xi} \frac{d X^{\nu}}{d \xi} g_{\mu \nu}(X)}+\int d \xi\left\langle q \mid \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right\rangle \frac{d X^{\mu}}{d \xi}
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the central charge
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\mathcal{Z} \equiv\langle q \mid \mathcal{V}\rangle=\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda} q_{\Lambda}-\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} p^{\Lambda}
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and

$$
\left\langle q \mid \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right\rangle=A^{\Lambda} q_{\Lambda}-A_{\Lambda} p^{\Lambda} .
$$

This is not a surprising result, but it is nice to recover the mass ("tension") of supersymmetric black holes in such a simple way.
We are now prepared to search for the 2-forms.

## 6 - Extensions of $N=2, d=4$ SUGRA: 2.- 2-form fields

The main lesson we learned from the $N=2 B, d=107$-branes is that the ( $d-2$ )-form potentials are dual to the isometries of the scalar manifold. Thus, we have to Hodge-dualize the Noether currents associated to the transformations

$$
\delta_{\alpha} Z^{i}=\alpha^{A} k_{A}{ }^{i}(Z), \quad \delta_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}=\alpha^{A} T_{A} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}
$$

with $T_{A} \in \mathfrak{g}_{v} \subseteq \mathfrak{s p}(2 \bar{n}, \mathbb{R})$ (Gaillard \& Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B 193 (1981) 221).
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They are not gauge-invariant due to the last term. (On-shell) current conservation
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The main lesson we learned from the $N=2 B, d=107$-branes is that the ( $d-2$ )-form potentials are dual to the isometries of the scalar manifold. Thus, we have to Hodge-dualize the Noether currents associated to the transformations

$$
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$$

with $T_{A} \in \mathfrak{g}_{v} \subseteq \mathfrak{s p}(2 \bar{n}, \mathbb{R})$ (Gaillard \& Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B 193 (1981) 221). Using
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\delta_{\alpha} \mathcal{V}=\alpha^{A} k_{A}{ }^{i} \partial_{i} \mathcal{V}+\text { c.c. }=\alpha^{A}\left[T_{A} \mathcal{V}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{A}-\bar{\lambda}_{A}\right) \mathcal{V}\right],
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And then we define the gauge-invariant 3 -form field-strength

$$
H_{A} \equiv d B_{A}+4\left\langle\mathcal{F} \mid T_{A} \mathcal{A}\right\rangle
$$

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

The $B_{A}$ s are the 2-forms to which the strings of $N=2, d=4$ SUGRA will couple to. Their supersymmetry transformation rules are found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\epsilon} B_{A \mu \nu}= & -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mathfrak{D}_{i} \mathcal{V} \mid T_{A} \mathcal{V}^{*}\right\rangle \bar{\epsilon}_{I} \gamma_{\mu \nu} \lambda^{i I}+\text { c.c. } \\
& -i\left\langle\mathcal{V} \mid T_{A} \mathcal{V}^{*}\right\rangle \bar{\epsilon}^{I} \gamma_{[\mu} \psi_{I \nu]}+\text { c.c. } \\
& +8\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{[\mu} \mid T_{A} \delta_{\epsilon} \mathcal{A}_{\nu]}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$
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We can now try to write a symplectic, gauge and supersymmetry-invariant worldsheet action for these strings, whose charges are $q^{A}$. The tension can only be a function of $q^{A}\left\langle\mathcal{V} \mid T_{A} \mathcal{V}^{*}\right\rangle$.
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We can now try to write a symplectic, gauge and supersymmetry-invariant worldsheet action for these strings, whose charges are $q^{A}$. The tension can only be a function of $q^{A}\left\langle\mathcal{V} \mid T_{A} \mathcal{V}^{*}\right\rangle$.
Then, the leading term of the Wess-Zumino term must be the pullback of $q^{A} B_{A \mu \nu}$. The action them is supersymmetric with the standard projection on $\epsilon$, but it is not gauge-invariant and it is impossible to add any term constructed with the vector fields to restore gauge-invariance.
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We can now try to write a symplectic, gauge and supersymmetry-invariant worldsheet action for these strings, whose charges are $q^{A}$. The tension can only be a function of $q^{A}\left\langle\mathcal{V} \mid T_{A} \mathcal{V}^{*}\right\rangle$.
Then, the leading term of the Wess-Zumino term must be the pullback of $q^{A} B_{A \mu \nu}$. The action them is supersymmetric with the standard projection on $\epsilon$, but it is not gauge-invariant and it is impossible to add any term constructed with the vector fields to restore gauge-invariance.
Exactly the same problem arises in the construction of a $\kappa$-symmetric worldsheet action for heterotic strings propagating in the background of Yang-Mills fields. The solution in that case is the addition of heterotic fermions whose gauge transformations cancel those of the 2-form (Atick, Dhar \& Ratra, Phys. Lett. B 169 (1986) 54).

## 7 - Some new supersymmetric solutions of $N=2, d=4$ supergravity

Once the form of all the supersymmetric solutions of all ungauged $N=2, d=4$ SUGRAs is known (Meessen \& O. hep-th/0603099, Hübscher, Meessen \& O., hep-th/0606281) it is natural to ask what happens in the gauged theories.

Once the form of all the supersymmetric solutions of all ungauged $N=2, d=4$ SUGRAs is known (Meessen \& O. hep-th/0603099, Hübscher, Meessen \& O., hep-th/0606281) it is natural to ask what happens in the gauged theories.
As a first step in this direction we are studying $N=2, d=4$ Einstein-Yang-Mills theories: $N=2, d=4$ SUGRAcoupled to non-Abelian vector fields. In these theories, only the isometries of the special-Kähler manifold are gauged and the scalar potential is $V \geq 0$.

Once the form of all the supersymmetric solutions of all ungauged $N=2, d=4$ SUGRAs is known (Meessen \& O. hep-th/0603099, Hübscher, Meessen \& O., hep-th/0606281) it is natural to ask what happens in the gauged theories.
As a first step in this direction we are studying $N=2, d=4$ Einstein-Yang-Mills theories: $N=2, d=4$ SUGRAcoupled to non-Abelian vector fields. In these theories, only the isometries of the special-Kähler manifold are gauged and the scalar potential is $V \geq 0$.
The form of all the supersymmetric solutions in the timelike class has been completely determined (Hübscher, Meessen, O. \& Vaulà, in preparation). They can be constructed as follows:

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions



Find a set of Yang-Mills $A^{\Lambda}{ }_{m}$ and functions $\mathcal{I}^{\Lambda}$ in flat 3-d space satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{p m n} F_{m n}^{\Lambda}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathfrak{D}_{p} \mathcal{I}^{\Lambda}
$$

which is the Bogomol'nyi equation satisfied by known magnetic monopole solutions.
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Find a set of Yang-Mills $A^{\Lambda}{ }_{m}$ and functions $\mathcal{I}^{\Lambda}$ in flat 3-d space satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{p m n} F_{m n}^{\Lambda}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathfrak{D}_{p} \mathcal{I}^{\Lambda}
$$

which is the Bogomol'nyi equation satisfied by known magnetic monopole solutions.

Use the above solution to find a solution of

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{2} g^{2}\left[f_{\Lambda\left(\Sigma^{\Gamma}\right.} f_{\Delta) \Gamma}{ }^{\Omega} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Delta}\right] \mathcal{I}_{\Omega}
$$

so that

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{I} \mid \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}\right\rangle=\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}^{\Lambda}-\mathcal{I}^{\Lambda} \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=0
$$

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

Solve the stabilization equations to find $\mathcal{R}^{\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda}$. N.B.:
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\mathcal{I}^{\Lambda} & \equiv \Im \mathrm{m}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda} / X\right), & & \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \\
\mathcal{R}^{\Lambda} & \equiv \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda} / X\right), & \left.\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} / X\right) & \equiv \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} / X\right) .
\end{aligned}
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The scalars are, then, given by
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Z^{i}=\frac{\mathcal{L}^{i}}{\mathcal{L}^{0}}=\frac{\mathcal{L}^{i} / X}{\mathcal{L}^{0} / X}=\frac{\mathcal{R}^{i}+i \mathcal{I}^{i}}{\mathcal{R}^{0}+i \mathcal{I}^{0}} .
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$$

Finally, with

$$
2|X|^{2}=\langle\mathcal{R} \mid \mathcal{I}\rangle^{-1}
$$

construct the spacetime metric

$$
d s^{2}=2|X|^{2} d t^{2}-\frac{1}{2|X|^{2}} d x^{m} d x^{m}
$$
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construct the spacetime metric
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and the symplectic vector of 2 -form field strengths
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Let us consider $N=2$ EYM systems containing an $S O(3)$ gauge group, with indices $a=1,2,3$. We make the "hedgehog" Ansatz
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\mathcal{I}^{a}=\mathcal{I} n^{a}, \quad A^{a}{ }_{m}=\Phi \varepsilon_{m b}^{a} n^{b}, \quad n^{a} \equiv x^{a} / r, \quad r \equiv \sqrt{x^{b} x^{b}} .
$$
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Let us consider $N=2$ EYM systems containing an $S O(3)$ gauge group, with indices $a=1,2,3$. We make the "hedgehog" Ansatz

$$
\mathcal{I}^{a}=\mathcal{I} n^{a}, \quad A^{a}{ }_{m}=\Phi \varepsilon_{m b}^{a} n^{b}, \quad n^{a} \equiv x^{a} / r, \quad r \equiv \sqrt{x^{b} x^{b}}
$$

A 2-parameter ( $\mu$ and $\rho$ ) family of solutions is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(r) & =\frac{\sqrt{2} \mu}{g} \mathrm{H}_{\rho}(\mu r), \quad \mathrm{H}_{\rho}(r)=\operatorname{coth}(r+\rho)-\frac{1}{r}, \\
\Phi(r) & =\frac{\mu}{g} \mathrm{G}_{\rho}(\mu r),
\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\rho}(r)=\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\sinh (r+\rho)} .
$$

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

## $S O(3)$ Examples

Let us consider $N=2$ EYM systems containing an $S O(3)$ gauge group, with indices $a=1,2,3$. We make the "hedgehog" Ansatz

$$
\mathcal{I}^{a}=\mathcal{I} n^{a}, \quad A^{a}{ }_{m}=\Phi \varepsilon_{m b}^{a} n^{b}, \quad n^{a} \equiv x^{a} / r, \quad r \equiv \sqrt{x^{b} x^{b}} .
$$

A 2-parameter ( $\mu$ and $\rho$ ) family of solutions is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(r) & =\frac{\sqrt{2} \mu}{g} \mathrm{H}_{\rho}(\mu r), \quad \mathrm{H}_{\rho}(r)=\operatorname{coth}(r+\rho)-\frac{1}{r}, \\
\Phi(r) & =\frac{\mu}{g} \mathrm{G}_{\rho}(\mu r),
\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\rho}(r)=\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\sinh (r+\rho)} .
$$

The two most interesting cases are $\rho=0, \infty$.

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

## 't Hooft-Polyakov Monopoles

The $\rho=0$ solution can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{a}{ }_{m} & =\varepsilon_{m b}{ }^{a} n^{b} \frac{\mu}{g} \mathrm{G}_{0}(\mu r), \quad \mathrm{G}_{0}(r)=\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\sinh r}, \\
\mathcal{I}^{a} & =\frac{\sqrt{2} \mu}{g} \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mu r) n^{a}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{0}(r)=\operatorname{coth} r-\frac{1}{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The profiles of the functions G and H are

$\mathcal{I}^{a}$ is regular at $r=0$ for $\rho=0$, and describes the 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole.

```
Black Hedgehogs
```

In the limit $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ we find the "black hedgehog" solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{a} & =-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\infty}+\frac{1}{g r}\right) n^{a}, \\
A^{a}{ }_{m} & =\varepsilon_{m b}{ }^{a} \frac{n^{b}}{g r} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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In the limit $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ we find the "black hedgehog" solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{a} & =-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\infty}+\frac{1}{g r}\right) n^{a}, \\
A^{a}{ }_{m} & =\varepsilon_{m b}{ }^{a} \frac{n^{b}}{g r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The YM field is singular at $r=0$ but in EYM theory the coupling to gravity may cover it by an event horizon.
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## Black Hedgehogs

In the limit $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ we find the "black hedgehog" solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{a} & =-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\infty}+\frac{1}{g r}\right) n^{a} \\
A^{a}{ }_{m} & =\varepsilon_{m b}{ }^{a} \frac{n^{b}}{g r}
\end{aligned}
$$

The YM field is singular at $r=0$ but in EYM theory the coupling to gravity may cover it by an event horizon.
The possible existence of an event horizon covering the singularity at $r=0$ has to be studied in specific models.

Before finding $\mathcal{R}$ and $|X|$ we have to find the $\mathcal{I}_{a}$ s solving

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{2} g^{2}\left[f_{\Lambda(\Sigma}{ }^{\Gamma} f_{\Delta) \Gamma}{ }^{\Omega} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Delta}\right] \mathcal{I}_{\Omega},
$$

and solve the staticity constraint

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{I} \mid \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}\right\rangle=0 .
$$
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$$
\mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{2} g^{2}\left[f_{\Lambda(\Sigma}{ }^{\Gamma} f_{\Delta) \Gamma}{ }^{\Omega} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Delta}\right] \mathcal{I}_{\Omega},
$$

and solve the staticity constraint

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{I} \mid \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

In this simple case

$$
\mathcal{I}_{a}=\frac{g}{2} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{I}^{a},
$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is an arbitrary constant.
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$$

and solve the staticity constraint

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{I} \mid \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}\right\rangle=0
$$

In this simple case

$$
\mathcal{I}_{a}=\frac{g}{2} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{I}^{a}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is an arbitrary constant.
If we split the index $\Lambda$ into an $a$-index and an $u$-index labeling the ungauged directions, the staticity constraint only acts non-trivially on the ungauged part:

$$
\mathcal{I}_{u} d \mathcal{I}^{u}-\mathcal{I}^{u} d I_{u}+\mathcal{I}_{a} \mathfrak{D} \mathcal{I}^{a}-\mathcal{I}^{a} \mathfrak{D} \mathcal{I}_{a}=\mathcal{I}_{u} d \mathcal{I}^{u}-\mathcal{I}^{u} d \mathcal{I}_{u}=0
$$

which we can solve as in the Abelian case or just set to zero.

## $N=2$ Extensions and Solutions

Before finding $\mathcal{R}$ and $|X|$ we have to find the $\mathcal{I}_{a} s$ solving

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{2} g^{2}\left[f_{\Lambda(\Sigma}{ }^{\Gamma} f_{\Delta) \Gamma}{ }^{\Omega} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Delta}\right] \mathcal{I}_{\Omega}
$$

and solve the staticity constraint

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{I} \mid \mathfrak{D}_{m} \mathcal{I}\right\rangle=0
$$

In this simple case

$$
\mathcal{I}_{a}=\frac{g}{2} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{I}^{a}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is an arbitrary constant.
If we split the index $\Lambda$ into an $a$-index and an $u$-index labeling the ungauged directions, the staticity constraint only acts non-trivially on the ungauged part:

$$
\mathcal{I}_{u} d \mathcal{I}^{u}-\mathcal{I}^{u} d I_{u}+\mathcal{I}_{a} \mathfrak{D} \mathcal{I}^{a}-\mathcal{I}^{a} \mathfrak{D} \mathcal{I}_{a}=\mathcal{I}_{u} d \mathcal{I}^{u}-\mathcal{I}^{u} d I_{u}=0
$$

which we can solve as in the Abelian case or just set to zero.
This determines completely the family of solutions but, in order to find explicit expressions for $\mathcal{R}$ and $|X|$ and the spacetime metric we must solve the stabilization equations which depend on the specific model considered.


For simplicity let us consider a $\overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{3}$ model whose prepotential reads

$$
\mathcal{F}=\frac{i}{4} \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{X}^{\Lambda} \mathcal{X}^{\Sigma}, \quad \eta=\operatorname{diag}\left(-,[+]^{n}\right) .
$$

The Kähler potential is

$$
e^{-\mathcal{K}}=1-|Z|^{2}, \Rightarrow|Z|^{2}<1
$$
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\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda}=-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma} \quad, \quad \mathcal{R}^{\Lambda}=2 \eta^{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma},
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and the metric function is given by
$-g_{r r}=\frac{1}{2|X|^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}^{\Lambda} \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma}-2 \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \eta^{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{I}^{02}-\mathcal{I}^{a 2}+4 \mathcal{I}_{0}{ }^{2}-4 \mathcal{I}_{a}{ }^{2}\right]$.
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With the hedgehog Ansatz $\mathcal{I}^{a 2}=\mathcal{I}^{2}$ and $S U(2)$ effectively reduces to a $U(1)$ in the metric!
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For simplicity let us consider a $\overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{3}$ model whose prepotential reads

$$
\mathcal{F}=\frac{i}{4} \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{X}^{\Lambda} \mathcal{X}^{\Sigma}, \quad \eta=\operatorname{diag}\left(-,[+]^{n}\right) .
$$

The Kähler potential is

$$
e^{-\mathcal{K}}=1-|Z|^{2}, \Rightarrow|Z|^{2}<1
$$

The stabilization equations are solved by

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda}=-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma} \quad, \quad \mathcal{R}^{\Lambda}=2 \eta^{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma},
$$

and the metric function is given by
$-g_{r r}=\frac{1}{2|X|^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}^{\Lambda} \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma}-2 \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \eta^{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{I}^{02}-\mathcal{I}^{a 2}+4 \mathcal{I}_{0}{ }^{2}-4 \mathcal{I}_{a}{ }^{2}\right]$.
With the hedgehog Ansatz $\mathcal{I}^{a 2}=\mathcal{I}^{2}$ and $S U(2)$ effectively reduces to a $U(1)$ in the metric! For black holes with finite entropy (attractor) we need at least two $U(1)$ s. However, since $\mathcal{I}^{a}$ is bound in the monopole, we do not need $\mathcal{I}^{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}$ and we can set them to constants.
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How does the attractor mechanism work in this solution?
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nelation between strings (in general $(d-3)$-branes) and Scherk-Schwarz reductions...

